arXiv:1303.0511v1 [math.CV] 3 Mar 2013

This paper was published in the journal:
Panamer. Math. J. **21** (2011), No. 3, 63–77.

SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR STRONGLY CARATHÉODORY FUNCTIONS

HITOSHI SHIRAISHI, SHIGEYOSHI OWA, AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA

Abstract. Applying the subordination principle for analytic functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$, I. H. Kim and N. E. Cho (Comput. Math. Appl. **59**(2010), 2067-2073) considered some sufficient conditions for Carathéodory functions. The purpose of this paper is to discuss some sufficient conditions for the class of strongly Carathéodory functions in $\mathbb{U}$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}[a_0, n]$ denote the class of functions $p(z)$ of the form

$$p(z) = a_0 + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \qquad (n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, where $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[a_0, n]$ satisfies

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2}\mu \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real μ $(0 < \mu \leqq 1)$, then we say that $p(z)$ is the strongly Carathéodory function of order μ and written by $p(z) \in \mathcal{STP}(\mu)$.

Also, let $\mathcal{A}_n$ denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \ldots \qquad (n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$$

that are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}_1$.

Further, let the class $\mathcal{STS}(\mu)$ of $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{STS}(\mu) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n : \left| \arg\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\mu,\ 0 < \mu \leqq 1 \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{S}^* \equiv \mathcal{STS}(1)$. This class $\mathcal{STS}(\mu)$ was considered by Shiraishi and Owa [1] and $f(z) \in \mathcal{STS}(\mu)$ is said to be the strongly starlike function of order μ in $\mathbb{U}$.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. Then $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $g(z)$ if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ satisfying $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$ and such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. We denote this subordination by

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic function, subordination principle, strongly starlike function, strongly Carathéodory function.

In particular, if $g(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then the subordination

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$ (cf. [3]).

Denote by $\mathcal{Q}$ the class of functions $q(z)$ that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \backslash \mathbb{E}(q)$, where

$$\mathbb{E}(q) = \left\{ \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} : \lim_{z \to \zeta} \{q(z)\} = \infty \right\},$$

and such that $q'(\zeta) \neq 0$ $(\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \mathbb{E}(q))$.

Futher, let the subclass of $\mathcal{Q}$ for which $q(0) = a_0$ be denoted by $\mathcal{Q}(a_0)$.

To discuss our problems, we need the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu [3].

Lemma 1. *Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{Q}(a_0)$ and let $h(z) \in \mathcal{H}[a_0, n]$ with $h(z) \not\equiv a_0$. If $h(z) \not\prec q(z)$, then there exist points $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_0 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \mathbb{E}(q)$ for which*

$$h(z_0) = q(\zeta_0)$$

and

$$z_0 h'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 q'(\zeta_0) \qquad (m \geqq n \geqq 1).$$

2. CONDITIONS FOR THE STRONGLY CARATHÉODORY FUNCTION

Applying Lemma 1, we derive

Theorem 1. *Let $g(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with*

$$A = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \{\mathrm{Re}(g(z)) \cos\alpha - |\mathrm{Im}(g(z)) \sin\alpha|\} > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1}$$

for some $-\dfrac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$. If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ satisfies $p(z) \not\equiv 1$ and

$$\mathrm{Re}(p(z) + g(z) z p'(z)) > \frac{1}{2nA}((\cos\alpha + 2nA)\sin^2\alpha - n^2 A^2 \cos\alpha) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - |\alpha| \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. First, let us define the function $h_1(z)$ by

$$h_1(z) = e^{i\alpha} p(z) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2}$$

and the function $q_1(z)$ by

$$q_1(z) = \frac{e^{i\alpha} + \overline{e^{i\alpha}} z}{1 - z} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{3}$$

for $-\dfrac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$.

Then, we see that $h_1(z)$ and $q_1(z)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with

$$h_1(0) = q_1(0) = e^{i\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$$

and

$$q_1(\mathbb{U}) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re}(w) > 0\}.$$

Now we suppose that $h_1(z)$ is not subordinate to $q_1(z)$. Then, Lemma 1 shows us that, there exist points $z_1 \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$ such that

$$h_1(z_1) = q_1(\zeta_1) = i\rho_1 \qquad (\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{4}$$

and

$$z_1 h_1'(z_1) = m\zeta_1 q_1'(\zeta_1) \qquad (m \geqq n \geqq 1). \tag{5}$$

Here we note that

$$\zeta_1 = q_1^{-1}(h_1(z_1)) = \frac{h_1(z_1) - e^{i\alpha}}{h_1(z_1) + \overline{e^{i\alpha}}} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\zeta_1 q_1'(\zeta_1) = -\frac{\rho_1^2 - 2\rho_1 \sin\alpha + 1}{2\cos\alpha} \equiv \sigma_1(\rho_1) < 0. \tag{7}$$

For such $z_1 \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Re}(p(z_1) + g(z_1)z_1 p'(z_1))\\
&= \mathrm{Re}(e^{-i\alpha}h_1(z_1) + g(z_1)e^{-i\alpha}z_1 h_1'(z_1))\\
&= \mathrm{Re}(e^{-i\alpha}q_1(\zeta_1) + g(z_1)e^{-i\alpha}m\zeta_1 q_1'(\zeta_1))\\
&= \mathrm{Re}(e^{-i\alpha}i\rho_1 + g(z_1)e^{-i\alpha}m\sigma_1(\rho_1))\\
&= \rho_1 \sin\alpha + m(\mathrm{Re}(g(z_1))\cos\alpha - \mathrm{Im}(g(z_1))\sin\alpha)\sigma_1(\rho_1)\\
&\leqq \rho_1 \sin\alpha + nA\sigma_1(\rho_1)\\
&= \rho_1 \sin\alpha - nA\frac{\rho_1^2 - 2\rho_1\sin\alpha + 1}{2\cos\alpha}\\
&= -\frac{nA}{2\cos\alpha}\left(\rho_1 - \frac{\sin\alpha(\cos\alpha + nA)}{nA}\right)^2 + \frac{\sin^2\alpha(\cos\alpha + 2nA) - n^2A^2\cos\alpha}{2nA}\\
&\leqq \frac{1}{2nA}(\sin^2\alpha(\cos\alpha + 2nA) - n^2A^2\cos\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

where A is given by (1). This evidently contradicts the assumption of Theorem 1. Therefore we obtain

$$\mathrm{Re}(h_1(z)) = \mathrm{Re}(e^{i\alpha}p(z)) > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{8}$$

for $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Next, let us put

$$h_2(z) = e^{-i\alpha}p(z) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{9}$$

and

$$q_2(z) = \frac{e^{-i\alpha} + \overline{e^{-i\alpha}}z}{1 - z} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{10}$$

for $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Then, we see that the functions $h_2(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with

$$h_2(0) = q_2(0) = e^{-i\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$$

and

$$q_2(\mathbb{U}) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re}(w) > 0\} = q_1(\mathbb{U}).$$

If we suppose that $h_2(z)$ is not subordinate to $q_2(z)$, then Lemma 1 gives us that, there exist points $z_2 \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_2 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$ such that

$$h_2(z_2) = q_2(\zeta_2) = i\rho_2 \qquad (\rho_2 \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{11}$$

and

$$z_2 h_2'(z_2) = m\zeta_2 q_2'(\zeta_2) \qquad (m \geqq n \geqq 1). \tag{12}$$

Futher, we note that

$$\zeta_2 = q_2^{-1}(h_1(z_2)) = \frac{h_2(z_2) - e^{-i\alpha}}{h_2(z_2) + \overline{e^{-i\alpha}}} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\zeta_2 q_2'(\zeta_2) = -\frac{\rho_2^2 + 2\rho_2 \sin\alpha + 1}{2\cos\alpha} \equiv \sigma_2(\rho_2) < 0. \tag{14}$$

For such $z_2 \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_2 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Re}(p(z_2) + g(z_2)z_2 p'(z_2)) \\
&= \mathrm{Re}(e^{i\alpha} h_2(z_2) + g(z_2)e^{i\alpha} z_2 h_2'(z_2)) \\
&= \mathrm{Re}(e^{i\alpha} q_2(\zeta_2) + g(z_2)e^{i\alpha} m\zeta_2 q_2'(\zeta_2)) \\
&= \mathrm{Re}(e^{i\alpha} i\rho_2 + g(z_2)e^{i\alpha} m\sigma_2(\rho_2)) \\
&= -\rho_2 \sin\alpha + m(\mathrm{Re}(g(z_2))\cos\alpha + \mathrm{Im}(g(z_2))\sin\alpha)\sigma_2(\rho_2) \\
&\leqq -\rho_2 \sin\alpha + nA\sigma_2(\rho_2) \\
&= -\rho_2 \sin\alpha - nA\frac{\rho_2^2 + 2\rho_2 \sin\alpha + 1}{2\cos\alpha} \\
&= -\frac{nA}{2\cos\alpha}\left(\rho_2 + \frac{\sin\alpha(\cos\alpha + nA)}{nA}\right)^2 + \frac{\sin^2\alpha(\cos\alpha + 2nA) - n^2A^2\cos\alpha}{2nA} \\
&\leqq \frac{1}{2nA}(\sin^2\alpha(\cos\alpha + 2nA) - n^2A^2\cos\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

where A is given by (1). This also contradicts the assumption of Theorem 1. Therefore we have that

$$\mathrm{Re}(h_2(z)) = \mathrm{Re}(e^{-i\alpha} p(z)) > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{15}$$

for $-\dfrac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$.

Hence, combining inequalities (8) and (15), we complete the proof of Theorem 1. □

Taking $\dfrac{\pi}{2}\mu = \dfrac{\pi}{2} - \alpha$ $(0 < \mu \leqq 1)$ in Theorem 1, we obtain

Corollary 1. *Let $g(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with*

$$A = \inf_{z\in\mathbb{U}} \left\{ \mathrm{Re}(g(z))\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\mu - \left|\mathrm{Im}(g(z))\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\mu\right| \right\} > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some $0 < \mu \leqq 1$. If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ satisfies $p(z) \not\equiv 1$ and

$$\mathrm{Re}(p(z) + g(z)zp'(z)) > \frac{1}{2nA}\left(\left(\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\mu + 2nA\right)\cos^2\frac{\pi}{2}\mu - n^2A^2\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\mu\right) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then $p(z) \in \mathcal{STP}(\mu)$

Putting

$$p(z)=\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}=1+na_{n+1}z^n+\dots \qquad (z\in\mathbb{U})$$

for $f(z)\in\mathcal{A}_n$ in Corollary 1, we have

Corollary 2. *Let $g(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with*

$$A=\inf_{z\in\mathbb{U}}\left\{\operatorname{Re}(g(z))\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\mu-\left|\operatorname{Im}(g(z))\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\mu\right|\right\}>0 \qquad (z\in\mathbb{U})$$

for some $0<\mu\leqq 1$. If $f(z)\in\mathcal{A}_n$ satisfies $\dfrac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\not\equiv 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+g(z)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\left(1-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right)\\&>\frac{1}{2nA}\left(\left(\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\mu+2nA\right)\cos^2\frac{\pi}{2}\mu-n^2A^2\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\mu\right) \qquad (z\in\mathbb{U}),\end{aligned}$$

then $f(z)\in\mathcal{STS}(\mu)$.

Considering $n=1$ and $0\leqq\alpha<\dfrac{\pi}{2}$, we get

Corollary 3. *Let $g(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with*

$$A=\inf_{z\in\mathbb{U}}\{\operatorname{Re}(g(z))\cos\alpha-\operatorname{Im}(g(z))\sin\alpha\}>0 \qquad (z\in\mathbb{U})$$

for some $0\leqq\alpha<\dfrac{\pi}{2}$. If $p(z)\in\mathcal{H}[1,1]$ satisfies $p(z)\not\equiv 1$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z)+g(z)zp'(z))>\frac{1}{2A}((\cos\alpha+2A)\sin^2\alpha-A^2\cos\alpha) \qquad (z\in\mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$|\arg(p(z))|<\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha \qquad (z\in\mathbb{U}).$$

We try to show an example of Theorem 1.

Example 1. Let us consider the function

$$p(z)=1+kz^n \qquad (n=1,2,3,\dots)$$

for $k\leqq\dfrac{n^2+6n-9}{4n(4n+3)}$. Then, it is easy to observe that $p(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and maps $\mathbb{U}$ onto the disk with the center at $p(0)=1$ and the radius k.

Thus, we see that

$$|\arg(p(z))|<\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{n^2+6n-9}{4n(4n+3)}\right)<\frac{\pi}{4} \qquad (z\in\mathbb{U}).$$

Further, if we consider $g(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{3}z$ and $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \{\operatorname{Re}(g(z)) \cos\alpha - |\operatorname{Im}(g(z)) \sin\alpha|\} \\ &= \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

This gives us that

$$\frac{1}{2nA}((\cos\alpha + 2nA)\sin^2\alpha - n^2A^2\cos\alpha) = \frac{-n^2+6n+9}{12n}.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(p(z) + g(z)zp'(z)) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(1 + (1+n)kz^n + \frac{n}{3}kz^{n+1}\right) \\ &> 1 - (1+n)k - \frac{n}{3}k \\ &\geqq \frac{-n^2+6n+9}{12n} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in view of Theorem 1, we conclude that

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{4} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We also derive

Theorem 2. *If* $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1,n]$ *satisfies* $p(z) \neq 0$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$, $p(z) \not\equiv 1$ *and*

$$-\frac{\sqrt{2n\cos^2\alpha + n^2} + n\sin\alpha}{\cos\alpha} < \operatorname{Im}\left(p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) < \frac{\sqrt{2n\cos^2\alpha + n^2} - n\sin\alpha}{\cos\alpha}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ *and some* $0 \leqq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$, *then*

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. We define the functions $h_1(z)$ by (2) and $q_1(z)$ by (3) for $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

If $h_1(z)$ is not subordinate to $q_1(z)$, then there exist points $z_1 \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$ satisfying (4) and (5).

Using equations from (2) to (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}\left(p(z_1) + \frac{z_1p'(z_1)}{p(z_1)}\right) &= \operatorname{Im}\left(e^{-i\alpha}h_1(z_1) + \frac{z_1h_1'(z_1)}{h_1(z_1)}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im}\left(e^{-i\alpha}q_1(\zeta_1) + \frac{m\zeta_1q_1'(\zeta_1)}{q_1(\zeta_1)}\right) \\ &= \rho_1\cos\alpha - \frac{m\sigma_1(\rho_1)}{\rho_1} \qquad (\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \end{aligned}$$

for such $z_1 \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$.

For the case $\rho_1 > 0$, since $\sigma_1(\rho_1) < 0$ and $m \geqq n$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 \cos\alpha - \frac{m\sigma_1(\rho_1)}{\rho_1} &\geqq \rho_1 \cos\alpha - \frac{n\sigma_1(\rho_1)}{\rho_1} \\ &= \frac{\rho_1^2(2\cos^2\alpha + n) - 2n\rho_1 \sin\alpha + n}{2\rho_1 \cos\alpha} \qquad (\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}).\end{aligned}$$

Since the function

$$g_1(\rho_1) = \frac{\rho_1^2(2\cos^2\alpha + n) - 2n\rho_1 \sin\alpha + n}{2\rho_1 \cos\alpha} \qquad (\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$$

takes the minimum value at ρ_1^* given by

$$\rho_1^* = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\cos^2\alpha + n}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 \cos\alpha - \frac{m\sigma_1(\rho_1)}{\rho_1} &\geqq g_1(\rho_1^*) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2n\cos^2\alpha + n^2} - n\sin\alpha}{\cos\alpha} \qquad (\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}),\end{aligned}$$

whch is the contradiction for the assumption of Theorem 2.

For the case $\rho_1 < 0$, we put $\rho_1 = -\rho_1'$ $(\rho_1' > 0)$.

Then, using the same method mentioned above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 \cos\alpha - \frac{m\sigma_1(\rho_1)}{\rho_1} &\leqq -\rho_1' \cos\alpha + \frac{n\sigma_1(-\rho_1')}{\rho_1'} \\ &= -\frac{{\rho_1'}^2(2\cos^2\alpha + n) + 2n\rho_1' \sin\alpha + n}{2\rho_1' \cos\alpha} \\ &\equiv g_1(-\rho_1') \\ &\leqq g_1\left(-\sqrt{\frac{n}{2\cos^2\alpha + n}}\right) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{2n\cos^2\alpha + n^2} + n\sin\alpha}{\cos\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption of Theorem 2. Hence, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha}p(z)) > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{16}$$

for $0 \leqq \alpha < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$.

Next, considering the functions $h_2(z)$ defined by (9) and $q_2(z)$ defined by (10) and using the similar method as the above, we also get

$$\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\alpha}p(z)) > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{17}$$

for $0 \leqq \alpha < \dfrac{\pi}{2}$.

Therefore, making use of (16) and (17), we have complete the proof of Theorem 2. □

Considering $\dfrac{\pi}{2}\mu = \dfrac{\pi}{2} - \alpha$ $(0 < \mu \leqq 1)$ in Theorem 2, we obtain Corollary 4.

Corollary 4. *If* $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1,n]$ *satisfies* $p(z) \neq 0$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$, $p(z) \not\equiv 1$ *and*

$$-\frac{\sqrt{2n\sin^2\frac{\pi}{2}\mu + n^2} + n\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\mu}{\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\mu} < \operatorname{Im}\left(p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) < \frac{\sqrt{2n\sin^2\frac{\pi}{2}\mu + n^2} - n\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\mu}{\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\mu}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ *and some* $0 < \mu \leqq 1$, *then* $p(z) \in \mathcal{STP}(\mu)$

Putting

$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + na_{n+1}z^n + \dots \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ in Corollary 4, we have

Corollary 5. *If* $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ *satisfies* $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$, $f(z) \not\equiv 1$ *and*

$$-\frac{\sqrt{2n\sin^2\frac{\pi}{2}\mu + n^2} + n\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\mu}{\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\mu} < \operatorname{Im}\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) < \frac{\sqrt{2n\sin^2\frac{\pi}{2}\mu + n^2} - n\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\mu}{\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\mu}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ *and some* $0 < \mu \leqq 1$, *then* $f(z) \in \mathcal{STS}(\mu)$

Taking $n = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary due to Kim and Cho [2].

Corollary 6. *If* $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1,1]$ *satisfies* $p(z) \neq 0$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$, $p(z) \not\equiv 1$ *and*

$$-\frac{\sqrt{2\cos^2\alpha + 1} + \sin\alpha}{\cos\alpha} < \operatorname{Im}\left(p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) < \frac{\sqrt{2\cos^2\alpha + 1} - \sin\alpha}{\cos\alpha} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some $0 \leqq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$, *then*

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Finaly, we derive

Theorem 3. *If* $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1,n]$ *satisfies* $p(z) \neq 0$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$, $p(z) \not\equiv 1$ *and*

$$\left|p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 1\right| < \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)|p(z)|\cos\alpha \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$, *then*

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - |\alpha| \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Let us define the function $h_1(z)$ by

$$h_1(z) = \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z)} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and $q_1(z)$ be the function defined as in the proof of Theorem 1. If $h_1(z)$ is not subordinate to $q_1(z)$, then there exist points $z_1 \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$ satisfying (4) and (5).

Using equations from (3) to (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\left|p(z_1) + \dfrac{z_1 p'(z_1)}{p(z_1)} - 1\right|}{|p(z_1)|} \\
&= |1 - e^{-i\alpha} z_1 h_1'(z_1) - e^{-i\alpha} h_1(z_1)| \\
&= |h_1(z_1) + z_1 h_1'(z_1) - e^{-i\alpha}| \\
&= |q_1(\zeta_1) + m\zeta_1 q_1'(\zeta_1) - e^{-i\alpha}| \\
&= |(m\sigma_1(\rho_1) - \cos\alpha) + i(\rho_1 - \sin\alpha)| \\
&= ((m\sigma_1(\rho_1) - \cos\alpha)^2 + (\rho_1 - \sin\alpha)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left(\left(m\frac{\rho_1^2 - 2\rho_1 \sin\alpha + 1}{2\cos\alpha} + \cos\alpha\right)^2 + (\rho_1 - \sin\alpha)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\geqq \left(\left(n\frac{\rho_1^2 - 2\rho_1 \sin\alpha + 1}{2\cos\alpha} + \cos\alpha\right)^2 + (\rho_1 - \sin\alpha)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left(\left(n\frac{(\rho_1 - \sin\alpha)^2 + \cos^2\alpha}{2\cos\alpha} + \cos\alpha\right)^2 + (\rho_1 - \sin\alpha)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\geqq \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)\cos\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

which is the contradiction for the assumption of Theorem 3. Hence we have

$$\mathrm{Re}(h_1(z)) = \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z)}\right) > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{18}$$

Next, we consider the function $h_2(z)$ defined by

$$h_2(z) = \frac{e^{-i\alpha}}{p(z)} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then, by virtue of (10), we also obtain that

$$\mathrm{Re}(h_2(z)) = \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-i\alpha}}{p(z)}\right) > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{19}$$

Therefore, in view of (18) and (19), we prove Theorem 3. □

Taking $\frac{\pi}{2}\mu = \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha$ $(0 < \mu \leqq 1)$ in Theorem 3, we obtain

Corollary 7. *If* $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1,n]$ *satisfies* $p(z) \neq 0$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$, $p(z) \not\equiv 1$ *and*

$$\left| p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 1 \right| < \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) |p(z)| \sin \frac{\pi}{2}\mu \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some $0 < \mu \leqq 1$*, then* $p(z) \in \mathcal{STP}(\mu)$*.*

Considering the function

$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + na_{n+1}z^n + \dots \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ in Corollary 7, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 8. *If* $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ *satisfies* $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$, $f(z) \not\equiv 1$ *and*

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \sin \frac{\pi}{2}\mu \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some $0 < \mu \leqq 1$*, then* $f(z) \in \mathcal{STS}(\mu)$*.*

Putting $n = 1$ and $0 \leqq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ in Theorem 3, we get Corollary 9 due to Kim and Cho [2].

Corollary 9. *If* $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1,1]$ *satisfies* $p(z) \neq 0$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$, $p(z) \not\equiv 1$ *and*

$$\left| p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{3}{2}|p(z)| \cos \alpha \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some $0 \leqq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$*, then*

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

References

[1] H. Shiraishi and S. Owa, *Some sufficient problems for certain univalent functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **30**(2008), 147–155.

[2] I. H. Kim and N. E. Cho, *Sufficient conditions for Carathéodory functions*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59**(2010), 2067–2073.

[3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations*, Theory and Applications. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.

Hitoshi Shiraishi
Department of Mathematics
Kinki University
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan
E-mail address: `shiraishi@math.kindai.ac.jp`

Shigeyoshi Owa
Department of Mathematics
Kinki University
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan
E-mail address: `owa@math.kindai.ac.jp`

H. M. Srivastava
Departmemt of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Victoria
Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4, Canada
E-mail address: `harimsri@math.uvic.ca`